

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/807,663                            | 07/02/2001      | Antonio A. Garcia    | A32011-A-PCT            | A32011-A-PCT 2220       |  |
| 21003                                 | 7590 01/16/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                |  |
| BAKER & BOTTS<br>30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA |                 |                      | TRAN, MY CHAU T         |                         |  |
|                                       | NY 10112        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                                       |                 |                      | 1639                    |                         |  |
|                                       |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004 | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/807,663   | GARCIA ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
| haveory house.   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|  | My-Chau T. Tran  | 1639   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | roid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which<br>(with appeal fee); or (3) a timely   | ation. A proper reply<br>n places the applicat   | / to a<br>tion in   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | <u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o | divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final ( | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. ☐ The proposed amondment(s) will not be entered by   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe  | •  | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | n better form for appeal by mater  | rially reducing or sim   | nplifying the   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims  | <b>3</b> .  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: see attached sheet.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | ion(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed a   | amendment   |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>see</u>  |  | dered but does NOT   | place the   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were  | newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo   |  |  | nd an   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: none.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 (for reasons of records).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-23 (for re   | easons of records).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by th   | ne Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen   | t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | <del></del>  |   |  |  |  |
| <u>-</u>   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |  |

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

1. The amendment filed 12/16/2003 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because:

- 2. The proposed amendments is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because:
  - a. The proposed response is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially simplifying the issues for appeal because of the art rejections of record would still read on the claims.
  - b. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment of the newly added limitation of "wherein said plate is substantially transparent" to claims 1 and 5 is necessary and was not earlier presented.
  - c. The proposed added limitation was neither searched nor examined in this application and would not overcome the art rejections of record.
  - d. Applicant arguments are most since they are based on the proposed amendment that have not been entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,663

Art Unit: 1639

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Wang can be reached on 703-306-3217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

mct

January 14, 2004

DASHRI PONNALURI